

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/509,574	09/29/2004	Toshimitsu Baba .	2004_1500A	9618
513 WENDEROTE	7590 07/13/200 I, LIND & PONACK, I	EXAMINER		
2033 K STREET N. W.			TRAN LIEN, THUY	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021			· ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1761	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/509,574	BABA ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Lien T. Tran	1761	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication approximation approximation approximation approximation and the second section and the second	ppears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence address	
A SH WHII - Exte afte - If NO - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPCHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a  d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO  the cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communical RANDONED (35.U.S.C. 8.133)	
Status				
2a) <u></u> —	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29. This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) The Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal ma		s is
Disposit	ion of Claims	,	,	
5) □ 6) ⊠ 7) □ 8) □ <b>Applicat</b> 9) □ 10) □	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraware Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/  ion Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accompany accompany and accompany and request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the correct that any objection is objected to by the Examination of the correct that any objection is objected to by the Examination of the correct that any objection is objected to by the Examination of the correct that any objection is objected to by the Examination of the correct that any objection is objected to by the Examination of the correct that any objection is objected to by the Examination of the correct that are objected to by the Examination of the correct that are objected to by the Examination of the correct that are objected to by the Examination of the correct that are objected to by the Examination of the correct that are objected to by the Examination of the correct that are objected to by the Examination of the correct that are objected to by the Examination of the correct that are objected to by the Examination of the correct that are objected to by the Examination of the correct that are objected to by the Examination of the correct that are objected to by the Examination of the correct that are objected to by the Examination of the correct that are objected to by the Examination of the correct that are objected to by the Examination of the correct that are objected to be correct that are objected to be correctly that are ob	awn from consideration.  for election requirement.  her. herecepted or b) objected to he drawing(s) be held in abeyanction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureasee the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Apprix documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Stage	
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 	

Art Unit: 1761

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is too long. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Art Unit: 1761

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Niwano et al in view of Youngquist.

Niwano et al disclose a method of preparing shaped food product. The process comprises the step of expanding hydrated dough comprising soybean protein by heating. The heating is done by oven or microwave irradiation. The dough comprises protein and starchy substances such as corn starch, potato starch, sweet potato starch, wheat starch and modified starch. (see col. 2 lines 30-33, 48-50, col. 5 lines 45-55, col. 6 lines 58-60 and the examples.)

Niwano et al do not disclose the protein is soybean 7s protein, drying the dough after heating, the amount of protein and starchy substance as claimed and the water content as claimed.

Youngquist discloses a shaped textured protein food product. Youngquist teaches the use of 7s soybean protein as an excellent binder for textured protein food products.

Niwano et al disclose any soybean protein can be used in the dough. Thus, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the to use the 7s soybean protein because it is a known soybean protein used in the food product as taught by Youngquist. One would be motivated to use the 7s soybean protein because Youngquist teaches that the protein is an excellent binder which mean it has good gelling property and Niwano et al prefers protein with good gelling property. It would have been obvious to dry the dough after heating depending on the moisture content wanted in the final product; such parameter can readily be determined by one skilled in the art. The moisture content of

Art Unit: 1761

Page 4

the dough and the final product vary with the type of product. It would have been within the routine determination of one skilled in the art to determine the appropriate water content of the dough and product being made. It would also have been obvious to determine the appropriate amount of protein and starchy substance depending on the type of product made. The amounts are result-effective variable which can be determined through routine experimentation to obtain product having optimum flavor, taste, texture and nutrition.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Zukerman discloses a method of producing an expanded intermediate food product.

References AJ, AK, AL and AM on the IDS filed 9/29/04 were not considered because applicant did not submit copies of the references.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lien T. Tran whose telephone number is 571-272-1408. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hendricks Keith can be reached on 571-272-1401. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1761

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

July 6, 2007

LIEN TRAN PRIMARY EXAMINER

group 1700